



Morbidity and mortality related to intimate partner violence in the perinatal period

Authors Susie Gurzenda, MS; Casey P Balio, PhD; and Megan Weis, DrPH, MPH, MCHES

Introduction

Intimate partner violence (IPV) encompasses various abusive actions or behaviors by a current or former romantic partner.¹ These behaviors include physical violence, sexual violence, stalking, psychological aggression, emotional abuse, and financial and economic abuse.¹ IPV is common, with approximately 41 percent of women and 26 percent of men reporting impacts from IPV during their lifetime.² IPV impacts the well-being of victims and survivors, as well as their families. Impacts include physical, mental, and sexual health such as increased risk of injury, fear, post-traumatic stress disorder, missed work, substance use, and depressive symptoms.^{1,3} The economic and societal costs of IPV are estimated at over \$3.6 trillion, with a lifetime cost per female victim of \$103,767.⁴

IPV during the perinatal period poses significant risks to maternal and child health, especially in rural communities⁵⁻⁷ due to limited access to employment, housing, transportation, health care, and support services.^{5,6,8} These factors are further compounded by structural racism and disparities within health care and labor markets,^{2,3} which contribute to disproportionately high rates of IPV among certain rural populations.^{5-7,9,10} Further, the intersection of these factors may compound the risk of IPV and hinder effective screening and intervention.^{5,11}

Analysis

The perinatal period, which includes conception, pregnancy, and the year following delivery, is a particularly vulnerable time for IPV, placing both the birthing individual and baby at significant risk of harm. Recent data indicate that approximately 4.6 percent of individuals in rural communities and 3.2 percent in urban communities report experiencing physical IPV during pregnancy or the 12 months prior.⁵ Younger individuals, individuals without private insurance,⁵ Black and Indigenous individuals, and people of color,¹¹ particularly those identifying as American Indian/Alaskan Native and multiple/other races, have higher rates of reported IPV during this time,^{5,11} with a pronounced disparity in rural communities.⁵

During the perinatal period, individuals are particularly vulnerable to financial, emotional, and physical abuse from partners. For example, homicide is a leading cause of death among individuals within one year of pregnancy^{13,14} and is often linked to IPV.¹³ Perpetrators of IPV commonly use guns to both threaten¹⁵ and enact violence,¹⁴ and recent estimates suggest guns were used in more than 69 percent of pregnancy-associated homicides.^{14,16} Barriers around employment, housing, access to health services, and transportation, which are more common in rural communities, may increase the risks of experiencing IPV and decrease opportunities to be screened for IPV or escape from an unsafe environment.⁵

Screening for IPV is recommended during the perinatal period but not universally practiced, particularly among rural residents. From 2016 to 2020, the likelihood of not receiving IPV screening during prenatal care was higher among pregnant people in rural areas (21.3 percent) compared to those in urban areas (16.5 percent).⁵ These figures are likely underestimates as a function of underreporting due to stigma and privacy concerns, especially in rural communities. Leading barriers to screening individuals with Medicaid coverage include limited time, concerns about the privacy and safety of the patient, and inadequate training of providers.¹⁷ Even when



training occurs, additional barriers exist to support individuals that screen positive for IPV with referrals to appropriate resources.¹⁷ Many of these barriers to both screening and referrals are often particularly pronounced in rural settings and among populations who are at disproportionate risk for IPV.¹⁸ Overall, this pathway from accessing perinatal care to receiving screening and being referred to support services is filled with potential barriers, particularly among individuals that are at highest risk. However, there are promising policy opportunities to improve screening and response to IPV, particularly in rural settings.¹⁸⁻²²

Policy recommendations

Due to the diverse drivers of IPV, a broad range of social, economic, and health policies are needed to support victims, improve screening rates, and prevent violence before it begins. Policies that address IPV must be survivor-centric and co-created by individuals with lived experiences.¹⁸ The following recommendations apply current evidence and best practices to specifically address IPV experienced by perinatal people living in rural areas, focusing on prevention, screening, and resources for survivors.

- 1. Increase prevention:** Prevention of IPV includes reducing environmental, economic, and social risks that increase the likelihood of IPV.
 - **Address financial vulnerability** through policies that support the social and economic well-being of people living in rural areas. For more information, see NRHA's policy briefs on benefits of economic development²³ and social service programs²⁴ for health outcomes in rural areas.
 - **Firearm safety**, particularly policies that require individuals who have been convicted of IPV to relinquish firearms, have been shown to reduce perinatal homicide.²⁵ For more information on education, training, and community action to improve rural firearm safety, see NRHA's 2022 rural firearm safety policy brief.²⁷
 - **Focus on restorative justice and prevention** for people who have been perpetrators of IPV or those at risk of becoming abusers. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention recommends teaching safe and healthy relationship skills and engaging influential adults and peers, with a focus on boys and men.²⁸ Relatedly, there is a need for policies to improve access mental health services in rural areas. For more information, see NRHA's 2022 brief on mental health in rural areas²⁹
- 2. Improve screening and treatment during the perinatal period**
 - **Enhance provider education and training**, exploring opportunities to leverage primary care providers, obstetricians, midwives, public health nurses, home-visiting nurses, community health workers, doulas, and other members of the care team.³⁰⁻³³ As an example, the Domestic Violence Enhanced Home Visitation program reduced reported violence among pregnant women in rural and urban areas who had experienced violence within the year prior.^{32,33}
 - **Develop value-based care and alternative payment models** that incentivize quality care for IPV and wraparound services through models that center equity so safety net, rural, and small providers can participate.³⁴ Since screening for IPV was initially recommended by the US Preventive Services Task Force more than a decade ago, there have been recommendations to incorporate IPV screening and care within quality measures, value-based care, and alternative payment models.^{31,35} Specific recommendations for how to define essential services for IPV have also been proposed, which may provide guidance for payers and payment models.³¹ Existing mechanisms broadly supporting health-related



social needs screening and care are already in place through Medicaid 1115 demonstration waivers; however, these supports are often focused on other health-related social needs without specific considerations for IPV.³⁶ The Centers of Medicare & Medicaid's new Transforming Maternal Health Model includes health-related social needs screening as a monitoring and evaluation criteria but not a performance payment metric, as of the writing of this brief.³⁷

- **Improve coverage of services and supports for IPV screening and care in Medicaid programs.** As of August, 2024, 46 states and D.C. had implemented 12-month continued Medicaid coverage for improved access to health services during the perinatal period,³⁸ which facilitates access to IPV screening. The remaining states should consider expanding full Medicaid coverage,¹⁷ as IPV screening and referral is covered by Medicaid. This is particularly important for rural birthing people, who are more likely to be insured by Medicaid and those covered by Medicaid are at increased risk of IPV.³⁹
 - **Incentivize provider screening** through the creation of specific billing codes, increased reimbursement, and specific quality measures for IPV screening, as suggested by the Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General's report.¹⁷ Such policies should be survivor-centric and ensure they do not inadvertently charge patients, many of whom may be experiencing financial hardship associated with IPV or may be on the insurance of perpetrators.³⁹ Several existing health-related social needs screening tools⁴⁰⁻⁴³ and diagnostic Z-codes⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶ include specific items for identifying and documenting IPV. Further, there are efforts for payers including Medicare and Medicaid to cover screening and support for health-related social needs.⁴⁷
 - **Reduce the risk of disclosure of service provision by insurance providers or health systems.** Survivors who share insurance with their abuser may be deterred from seeking health care out of fear that an explanation of benefits may be mailed to their abuser with an indication of IPV screening. Even among those who are able to seek care, there is concern that clinical encounter notes shared via the patient medical portal may disclose victim-reported abuse to anyone who has access to the portal, including abusers.⁴⁸ Communication around IPV screening should be thoughtful and survivor-centric. Further, explicit and specific protections for individuals who experience and seek care related to IPV against unwanted disclosure are needed.⁴⁵
 - **Ensure availability of telehealth screening and follow-up through legislation that extends telehealth reimbursement and supports connectivity.** These policies are particularly important to expanding care options in rural areas with limited local resources or lack of anonymity in close communities. For more information, see NRHA's recent brief on importance of broadband for improving health outcomes in rural areas.²³
3. **Resources for survivors:** Expanding resources for survivors across various domains including employment, housing, food, childcare, transportation, and banking is essential.¹⁸ This will require flexible funding for organizations that provide support and/or resources to survivors.
- **States can expand financial support for survivors through existing programs** such as Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) and Earned Income Tax Credits (EITC), by eliminating barriers to access.¹⁸ The Family Violence Option grants waivers to individuals experiencing family violence to receive TANF benefits without certain requirements such as work and training so they can set up safe, alternative living arrangements, open a bank account, and seek care for themselves and any children.⁴⁹ This waiver is particularly important in rural areas where accessing these resources may take more time. However, very few families are awarded this waiver,⁵⁰ despite high rates of IPV



among families that receive TANF.⁵¹ EITC, which has fewer requirements, has been shown to decrease IPV incidence.⁵²

- **Policies for strong employer-based paid safe leave or paid sick leave** such as Oregon's policies,⁵³ which allow workers to accrue paid time off that can be used for absences related to IPV.⁵⁴ This protected time can help reduce financial instability and the risk of employment loss, which can perpetuate the risk and exacerbate the impact of IPV.^{54,55} Importantly, rural residents are less likely to have access to any general paid sick leave, highlighting the need for specific considerations for rural residents and others who do not already have access to any paid sick leave.⁵⁶
- **Invest in taskforces or state offices** that collect data on and allocate resources to address IPV. Examples include Minnesota's Missing and Murdered Indigenous Relatives Office⁵⁷ and Missing and Murdered African American Women Task Force.⁵⁸

Recommended actions

Addressing IPV among rural individuals in the perinatal period necessitates a holistic range of actions, for which several opportunities are currently available. The overarching recommendation is that all policy actions should prioritize the needs of survivors, with survivor-centric policies developed through engagement of those with lived experience.¹⁸ Specific actions include:

- **Expand Medicaid coverage during the perinatal period in all states:** Encourage all states to extend postpartum Medicaid coverage through 12 months.
- **Support telehealth flexibility extenders** to enable private screening and care options.
 - Pass S.3967/H.R. 7623 – Telehealth Modernization Act^{59, 60}
- **Support the Healthy Families Act** to allow individuals to accrue paid sick leave.
 - Pass S.1664/H.R. 3409 – Healthy Families Act^{61,62}
- **Expand temporary financial assistance programs for survivors of IPV**, as modeled by the Oregon Department of Human Services Temporary Assistance for Domestic Violence Survivors program.^{63,64} Existing funds such as TANF may be used. Additional federal dollars could be sought from the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act, Violence Against Women Act, and Victims of Crime Act.⁶³
- **Support programs that prevent IPV**, such as those recommended by the CDC.²⁸
- **Leverage Section 1115 Medicaid Demonstration Waivers⁶⁵** to provide holistic support for IPV survivors, such as North Carolina's Health Opportunities Pilots.⁶⁶

Conclusion

IPV is a leading non-clinical cause of injury and death during the perinatal period, with rural individuals experiencing higher rates than their urban counterparts.^{5,14} NRHA supports policies that address common catalysts of IPV and improve access to screening and resources for rural individuals during the perinatal period. Addressing IPV will improve the health, well-being, and economic stability of rural individuals in the perinatal period, as well as that of their children and communities.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the authors of the original research studies on IPV among rural people in the perinatal period, particularly those who served as advisors on this brief and whose comments greatly improved this brief: Katy B. Kozhimannil, PhD, MPA; Alyssa H. Fritz, MPH; Mary-Katherine McNatt, DrPH, MPH, MCHES, CPH, COI, CWC; and Emily C. Sheffield, MPH. Additionally, the authors are grateful for feedback and guidance from NRHA staff.



References

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. About Intimate Partner Violence. Intimate Partner Violence Prevention. July 1, 2024. Accessed July 7, 2024. <https://www.cdc.gov/intimate-partner-violence/about/index.html>
2. Leemis RW, Friar N, Khatiwada S, et al. *The National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey : 2016/2017 Report on Intimate Partner Violence*. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2022. Accessed July 7, 2024. <https://stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/124646>
3. Stockman JK, Hayashi H, Campbell JC. Intimate Partner Violence and Its Health Impact on Disproportionately Affected Populations, Including Minorities and Impoverished Groups. *J Womens Health*. 2015;24(1):62-79. doi:10.1089/jwh.2014.4879
4. Peterson C, Kearns MC, McIntosh WL, et al. Lifetime Economic Burden of Intimate Partner Violence Among U.S. Adults. *Am J Prev Med*. 2018;55(4):433-444. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2018.04.049
5. Kozhimannil KB, Sheffield EC, Fritz AH, Henning-Smith C, Interrante JD, Lewis VA. Rural/urban differences in rates and predictors of intimate partner violence and abuse screening among pregnant and postpartum United States residents. *Health Serv Res*. 2024;59(2). doi:10.1111/1475-6773.14212
6. Peek-Asa C, Wallis A, Harland K, Beyer K, Dickey P, Saftlas A. Rural Disparity in Domestic Violence Prevalence and Access to Resources. *J Womens Health*. 2011;20(11):1743-1749. doi:10.1089/jwh.2011.2891
7. Edwards KM. Intimate Partner Violence and the Rural–Urban–Suburban Divide: Myth or Reality? A Critical Review of the Literature. *Trauma Violence Abuse*. 2015;16(3):359-373. doi:10.1177/1524838014557289
8. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Intimate Partner Violence: Risk and Protective Factors. Intimate Partner Violence Prevention. April 19, 2024. Accessed September 16, 2024. <https://www.cdc.gov/intimate-partner-violence/risk-factors/index.html>
9. Logan T, Cole J, Shannon L, Walker R. Relationship Characteristics and Protective Orders Among a Diverse Sample of Women. *J Fam Violence*. 2007;22(4):237-246. doi:10.1007/s10896-007-9077-z
10. Shannon L, Logan TK, Cole J, Medley K. Help-Seeking and Coping Strategies for Intimate Partner Violence in Rural and Urban Women. *Violence Vict*. 2006;21(2):167-181. doi:10.1891/vivi.21.2.167
11. Sheffield EC, Fritz AH, Kozhimannil KB. Racial/Ethnic Differences in Experiences of Intimate Partner Violence and Postpartum Abuse Screening Among Rural US Residents who Gave Birth 2016-2020. Published online 2024.
12. Bennett KJ, Lopes Jr. JE, Spencer K, van Hecke S. *Rural Women's Health*.; 2013. Accessed May 14, 2024. [https://www.ruralhealth.us/getmedia/59200550-91c7-48c9-bd64-83621a7d93b6/RuralWomensHealth-\(1\).pdf](https://www.ruralhealth.us/getmedia/59200550-91c7-48c9-bd64-83621a7d93b6/RuralWomensHealth-(1).pdf)
13. Modest AM, Prater LC, Joseph NT. Pregnancy-Associated Homicide and Suicide: An Analysis of the National Violent Death Reporting System, 2008–2019. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2022;140(4):565. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000004932
14. Wallace M, Gillispie-Bell V, Cruz K, Davis K, Vilda D. Homicide During Pregnancy and the Postpartum Period in the United States, 2018–2019. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2021;138(5):762-769. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000004567
15. Sorenson SB. Guns in Intimate Partner Violence: Comparing Incidents by Type of Weapon. *J Womens Health*. 2017;26(3):249-258. doi:10.1089/jwh.2016.5832
16. Wallace ME. Trends in Pregnancy-Associated Homicide, United States, 2020. *Am J Public Health*. 2022;112(9):1333. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2022.306937
17. Maxwell A. *Medicaid Enrollees May Not Be Screened for Intimate Partner Violence Because of Challenges Reported by Primary Care Clinicians*. US Department of Health and Human Services; 2024. Accessed May 14, 2024. <https://oig.hhs.gov/reports-and-publications/all-reports-and-publications/medicaid-enrollees-may-not-be-screened-for-intimate-partner-violence-because-of-challenges-reported-by-primary-care-clinicians/>
18. Fritz AH, Sheffield EC, Chastain PL, Henning-Smith C, Lewis V, Kozhimannil KB. Intimate Partner Violence in Rural Communities: Perspectives from Key Informant Interviews. Published online 2024.
19. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Intimate Partner Violence: Committee Opinion No. 518. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2012;119:412-417.
20. US Preventive Services Task Force, Curry SJ, Krist AH, et al. Screening for Intimate Partner Violence, Elder Abuse, and Abuse of Vulnerable Adults: US Preventive Services Task Force Final Recommendation Statement. *JAMA*. 2018;320(16):1678. doi:10.1001/jama.2018.14741
21. IOM (Institute of Medicine). *Clinical Preventive Services for Women: Closing the Gaps: Chapter 4 Recommendations*. The National Academies Press; 2011. doi:10.17226/13181
22. Women's Preventive Services Initiatives. Interpersonal and Domestic Violence Recommendations. 2017. Accessed July 8, 2024. <https://www.womenspreventivehealth.org/recommendations/interpersonal-and-domestic-violence/>
23. Cannon J. *Health Care's Role in Rural Economic Development: How Broadband Can Improve Health Outcomes*. National Rural Health Association Policy Brief; 2023. [https://www.ruralhealth.us/getmedia/37253b20-f865-4a84-bed5-bded40f5c2c8/NRHA-Policy-Brief-Final-Draft-Healthcare-s-Role-in-Rural-Economic-Development-\(Broadband\)-\(3\).pdf](https://www.ruralhealth.us/getmedia/37253b20-f865-4a84-bed5-bded40f5c2c8/NRHA-Policy-Brief-Final-Draft-Healthcare-s-Role-in-Rural-Economic-Development-(Broadband)-(3).pdf)



24. Jordan D. *Health Care's Role in Rural Economic Development: How Work Support Programs Improve Health and Stimulate Rural Economies*. National Rural Health Association Policy Brief; 2023. [https://www.ruralhealth.us/getmedia/00b85c72-2eac-46cc-934e-2106d2c1b0d5/NRHA-Policy-Brief-Final-Draft-Healthcare-s-Role-in-Rural-Economic-Development-\(SDOH\)-\(1\).pdf](https://www.ruralhealth.us/getmedia/00b85c72-2eac-46cc-934e-2106d2c1b0d5/NRHA-Policy-Brief-Final-Draft-Healthcare-s-Role-in-Rural-Economic-Development-(SDOH)-(1).pdf)
25. Wallace ME, Vilda D, Theall KP, Stoecker C. Firearm Relinquishment Laws Associated With Substantial Reduction In Homicide Of Pregnant And Postpartum Women. *Health Aff Proj Hope*. 2021;40(10):1654-1662. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2021.01129
26. Parker K, Horowitz J, Igielnik R, Oliphant B, Brown A. *America's Complex Relationship With Guns: An in-Depth Look at the Attitudes and Experiences of U.S. Adults*. Pew Research Center; 2017. Accessed July 7, 2024. <https://www.pewresearch.org/wp-content/uploads/sites/20/2017/06/Guns-Report-FOR-WEBSITE-PDF-6-21.pdf>
27. Lamb E, Pariser A, Fosmire A. *Firearm Safety in Rural America*. National Rural Health Association Policy Brief; 2022. <https://www.ruralhealth.us/getmedia/d7932b7f-3496-4584-b053-7f15134569df/2022-NRHA-Firearm-Policy-Brief-Final-Updated.pdf>
28. Niolon PH, Kearns M, Dills J, et al. *Intimate Partner Violence Prevention Resource for Action: A Compilation of the Best Available Evidence*. National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2017. https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/pdf/ipv-prevention-resource_508.pdf
29. Mack B, Whetsell H, Graves JM. *Mental Health in Rural Areas*. National Rural Health Association Policy Brief; 2022. <https://www.ruralhealth.us/getmedia/cf3c3922-25cb-49a0-bb04-0bad81d634f9/NRHA-Mental-health-in-rural-areas-policy-brief-2022.pdf>
30. Evanson TA. Intimate Partner Violence and Rural Public Health Nursing Practice: Challenges and Opportunities. *Online J Rural Nurs Health Care*. 2006;6(1):7-20. doi:10.14574/ojrnhc.v6i1.162
31. National Academy of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. *Essential Health Care Services Addressing Intimate Partner Violence*. The National Academies Press doi:10.17226/27425
32. Sharps PW, Bullock LF, Campbell JC, et al. Domestic Violence Enhanced Perinatal Home Visits: The DOVE Randomized Clinical Trial. *J Womens Health*. 2016;25(11):1129. doi:10.1089/jwh.2015.5547
33. Burnett C, Crowder J, Bacchus LJ, et al. "It Doesn't Freak Us Out the Way It Used to": An Evaluation of the Domestic Violence Enhanced Home Visitation Program to Inform Practice and Policy Screening for IPV. *J Interpers Violence*. 2021;36(13-14):NP7488-NP7515. doi:10.1177/0886260519827161
34. *Realizing the Transformational Potential of Maternity Care Payment Reform: Analysis and Recommendations*. National Partnership for Women & families; 2024. Accessed July 7, 2024. <https://nationalpartnership.org/wp-content/uploads/maternityAPM-report.pdf>
35. Miller E, McCaw B, Humphreys BL, Mitchell C. Integrating Intimate Partner Violence Assessment and Intervention into Healthcare in the United States: A Systems Approach. *J Womens Health*. 2015;24(1):92. doi:10.1089/jwh.2014.4870
36. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Coverage of Health-Related Social Needs (HRSN) Services in Medicaid and the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP). Published online November 16, 2023. <https://www.medicaid.gov/health-related-social-needs/downloads/hrsn-coverage-table.pdf>
37. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Transforming Maternal Health (TMaH) Model. Innovation Models. 2024. Accessed November 14, 2024. <https://www.cms.gov/priorities/innovation/innovation-models/transforming-maternal-health-tmah-model#mtmahmodel@cms.hhs.gov>
38. KFF. Medicaid Postpartum Coverage Extension Tracker. August 1, 2024. Accessed August 26, 2024. <https://www.kff.org/medicaid/issue-brief/medicaid-postpartum-coverage-extension-tracker/>
39. Kozhimannil KB, Sheffield EC, Fritz AH, Interrante JD, Henning-Smith C, Lewis VA. Health insurance coverage and experiences of intimate partner violence and postpartum abuse screening among rural US residents who gave birth 2016-2020. *J Rural Health*. 2024;n/a(n/a). doi:10.1111/jrh.12843
40. National Association of Community Health Centers, Inc., Association of Asian Pacific Community Health Organizations, Oregon Primary Care Association. PRAPARE®: Protocol for Responding to and Assessing Patient Assets, Risks, and Experiences Paper Version of PRAPARE® for Implementation as of September 2, 2016. Published online September 2, 2016. Accessed November 11, 2024. <https://prapare.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/04/PRAPARE-English.pdf>
41. Center for Medicare and Medicaid Innovation. *The Accountable Health Communities Health-Related Social Needs Screening Tool*. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Accessed November 11, 2024. <https://www.cms.gov/priorities/innovation/files/worksheets/ahcm-screeningtool.pdf>
42. American Academy of Family Physicians. Social Needs Screening Tool. Published online 2018. https://www.aafp.org/dam/AAFP/documents/patient_care/everyone_project/hops19-physician-form-sdoh.pdf
43. Health Leads. Social Needs Screening Toolkit. Published online 2018. Accessed November 11, 2024. https://healthleadsusa.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/Screening_Toolkit_2018.pdf
44. CDC. ICD-10-CM Files. Classification of Diseases, Functioning, and Disability. July 10, 2024. Accessed November 11, 2024. <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/icd/icd-10-cm/files.html>



45. Futures Without Violence. *Preventive Medicine Service Codes to Record Screening and Brief Counseling of Domestic and Interpersonal Violence and Privacy Principles.*; 2018. Accessed November 11, 2024. <http://ipvhealthpartners.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/Preventive-Medicine-Service-Codes-and-privacy-principles.pdf>
46. National PRAPARE® Team. PRAPARE® ICD-10-CM Z Codes. Published online 2022. Accessed November 11, 2024. <https://prapare.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/10/PRAPARE-Data-Documentation-Quick-Sheet.pdf>
47. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). *Call to Action: Addressing Health- Related Social Needs in Communities Across the Nation.*; 2023. Accessed November 11, 2024. <https://aspe.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/documents/3e2f6140d0087435cc6832bf8cf32618/hhs-call-to-action-health-related-social-needs.pdf>
48. Shum M, Ryus C, Chen P, Powers E, Jubanyik K, Tiyyagura G. Protecting Survivors of Intimate Partner Violence Under the Cures Act. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2023;81(6):761-763. doi:10.1016/j.annemergmed.2023.01.017
49. Azevedo-McCaffrey D. States Should Use New Requirement to Improve TANF for Domestic Violence Survivors | Center on Budget and Policy Priorities. April 27, 2022. Accessed July 8, 2024. <https://www.cbpp.org/blog/states-should-use-new-requirement-to-improve-tanf-for-domestic-violence-survivors>
50. *Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) and Separate State Programs - Maintenance of Effort (SSP-MOE).* Administration for Children & Families; 2022:Table 9. Accessed July 8, 2024. https://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/documents/ofa/wpr_FY2022_final-web.pdf
51. Tolman RM, Raphael J. A Review of Research on Welfare and Domestic Violence. *J Soc Issues.* 2000;56(4):655-682. doi:10.1111/0022-4537.00190
52. Spencer RA, Livingston MD, Woods-Jaeger B, Rentmeester ST, Sroczynski N, Komro KA. The impact of temporary assistance for needy families, minimum wage, and Earned Income Tax Credit on Women’s well-being and intimate partner violence victimization. *Soc Sci Med.* 2020;266:113355. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2020.113355
53. Employees and Paid Leave Oregon. Accessed July 8, 2024. <https://paidleave.oregon.gov/employees/overview.html>
54. Ramaswamy A, Ranji U, Published AS. Finding Policy Responses to Rising Intimate Partner Violence during the Coronavirus Outbreak. KFF. June 11, 2020. Accessed May 14, 2024. <https://www.kff.org/coronavirus-covid-19/issue-brief/finding-policy-responses-to-rising-intimate-partner-violence-during-the-coronavirus-outbreak/>
55. *Americans Need Access to Paid Safe Leave.* Futures without Violence and National Partnership for Women & Families; 2023. Accessed July 8, 2024. <https://policy.futureswithoutviolence.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/Futures.NPWF-Fact-Sheet-5.12.23.pdf>
56. Henning-Smith C, Dill J, Baldomero A, Backes Kozhimannil K. Rural/urban differences in access to paid sick leave among full-time workers. *J Rural Health.* 2023;39(3):676-685. doi:10.1111/jrh.12703
57. Missing and Murdered Indigenous Relatives Office - Services. Office of Justice Programs - A Division of the Minnesota Department of Public Safety. Accessed July 8, 2024. <https://dps.mn.gov:443/divisions/ojp/mmir/Pages/services.aspx>
58. Missing and Murdered African American Women Task Force. Office of Justice Programs - A Division of the Minnesota Department of Public Safety. 2022. Accessed July 8, 2024. <https://dps.mn.gov:443/divisions/ojp/Pages/missing-murdered-african-american-women-task-force.aspx>
59. Rep. Carter EL “Buddy” [R G 1. H.R.7623 - 118th Congress (2023-2024): Telehealth Modernization Act of 2024. May 16, 2024. Accessed September 12, 2024. <https://www.congress.gov/bill/118th-congress/house-bill/7623/all-info>
60. Sen. Scott T [R S. S.3967 - 118th Congress (2023-2024): Telehealth Modernization Act. March 19, 2024. Accessed September 12, 2024. <https://www.congress.gov/bill/118th-congress/senate-bill/3967>
61. Rep. DeLauro RL [D C 3. H.R.3409 - 118th Congress (2023-2024): Healthy Families Act. May 17, 2023. Accessed May 14, 2024. <https://www.congress.gov/bill/118th-congress/house-bill/3409>
62. Sen. Sanders B [I V. S.1664 - 118th Congress (2023-2024): Healthy Families Act. July 18, 2023. Accessed May 14, 2024. <https://www.congress.gov/bill/118th-congress/senate-bill/1664>
63. *Economic Security for Survivors of Domestic and Sexual Violence: Policy Recommendations for Cash Assistance Programs.* Futures without Violence; 2021. Accessed July 8, 2024. <https://policy.futureswithoutviolence.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/Cash-Assistance-Recommendations.pdf>
64. Oregon Department of Human Services. Temporary Assistance for Domestic Violence Survivors (TA-DVS) : Domestic Violence : State of Oregon. Accessed July 8, 2024. <https://www.oregon.gov/odhs/dv/pages/tadvs.aspx>
65. Chang DI, Decker P. Medicaid Can Play A Role In Preventing Intimate Partner Violence. *Health Aff Forefr.* doi:10.1377/forefront.20221123.479065
66. Hinton E, Artiga S, Musumeci M, Rudowitz R. *A First Look at North Carolina’s Section 1115 Medicaid Waiver’s Healthy Opportunities Pilots.* KFF - Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation; 2019. <https://files.kff.org/attachment/Issue-Brief-A-First-Look-at-North-Carolinas-Section-1115-Medicaid-Waivers-Healthy-Opportunities-Pilots>

